

# Game Theory, Spring 2024

## Problem Set # 3

Daniil Larionov

Due Mar 20 at 5:15 PM

### Exercise 1

1. Complete the proof of Proposition 1 from Lecture #4.
2. For the second-price sealed-bid auction considered in Lecture #4 find another Bayesian Nash equilibrium.

### Exercise 2

Suppose  $I \geq 2$  bidders participate in a second-price sealed-bid auction with a minimum bid  $r$ . Bidders can choose to *not participate* or place a bid above  $r > 0$ , i.e. the action space of each bidder is  $\{\emptyset\} \cup [r, +\infty)$ , where  $\emptyset$  denotes the non-participation action. If several bidders participate, then the highest bidder gets the object and pays the second-highest bid, and everybody else pays nothing. Ties are broken uniformly at random. If only one bidder participates, then this bidder wins and pays  $r$ . Non-participating bidders pay nothing. Bidder  $i$  assigns value  $V_i$  to the object.  $V_i$  is distributed on  $[0, 1]$  according to  $F$ , independently and identically across bidders.  $F$  has a continuous density  $f$  and full support. Bidder  $i$  knows her own value, but does not know the values of her competitors.

1. Formally define this auction as a Bayesian game.
2. Show that there is a Bayesian Nash equilibrium in dominant strategies, in which

each bidder plays  $\beta$  given by:

$$\beta(v_i) = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{if } v_i \geq r, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Compute expected revenue (as a function of  $r$ ) achieved in this equilibrium.
4. Suppose  $F(x) = x$  (i.e.  $V_i$  is uniform on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $i$ ), find the revenue-maximizing minimum bid and compute optimal revenue.

### Exercise 3

Suppose  $I \geq 2$  bidders participate in a glum-loser auction. The highest bidder gets the object and pays nothing, everybody else pays their own bid. Ties are broken uniformly at random. Bidder  $i$  assigns value  $V_i$  to the object.  $V_i$  is distributed on  $[0, 1)$  according to  $F$ , independently and identically across bidders.  $F$  has a continuous density  $f$  and full support. Bidder  $i$  knows her own value, but does not know the values of her competitors.

1. Formally define this auction as a Bayesian game.
2. Find a symmetric Bayesian Nash equilibrium in strictly increasing strategies.
3. Compute expected revenue achieved in this equilibrium (Hint: define revenue as  $R^* \equiv \sum_{i=1}^I \beta(V_i) - \max\{\beta(V_1), \dots, \beta(V_I)\}$ ).
4. Suppose  $F(x) = x$  (i.e.  $V_i$  is uniform on  $[0, 1)$  for all  $i$ ), evaluate expected revenue in this case.

### Exercise 4

Suppose  $I = 2$  bidders participate in a first-price sealed-bid auction with *common values*. The highest bidder gets the object and pays her own bid, everybody else pays nothing. Ties are broken uniformly at random. Each bidder gets a signal  $S_i$ , which is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ , independently and identically across bidders. Bidder  $i$  knows her own signal realization, but does not know the signal realization of her competitor. Bidder  $i$ 's value for the object is equal to the sum of all signals, i.e.  $V_i = \sum_{j=1}^I S_j$ .

1. Formally define this auction as a Bayesian game.
2. Suppose  $\beta$  is strictly increasing and continuously differentiable, and  $\beta(0) = 0$ .  
Compute the expected utility of bidder  $i$  who chooses to bid  $b_i$  and whose signal realization is  $s_i$  (Hint: conditional on winning, bidder  $i$  values the object at  $\mathbb{E}[V_i | b_i \geq \beta(S_{-i}), S_i = s_i]$ ).
3. Find a symmetric Bayesian Nash equilibrium in strictly increasing strategies.
4. Compute expected revenue achieved in this equilibrium.